

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
09/257,223	02/25/1999	LESLIE DEREK HUMPHREY	476-1733	1908	
7590 12/08/2003 BARNES & THORNBURG SWEENEY & OHLSON P O BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			EXAMINER		
			GEORGE, KEITH M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663	18	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/257,2	23	HUMPHREY, LESLIE DEREK			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Keith M. 0	George	2663			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDOI	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 August 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objected to should be considered to the specific product of the product of the specific product of the speci	2 <u>002</u> is/are: a)⊠ acception to the drawing(s) the correction is required.	be held in abeyance. S red if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for ince a specific reference was included a claim for the translation of the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim for the first sent the contract of the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign lare.	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Run for a list of the cert or domestic priority und in the first sentence anguage provisional apport domestic priority und the first priority und domestic priority	en received. en received in Application ents have been received in Application ents have been received in Application ents have been received in Application pplication has been received in Application has been received in Applicati	ation No ived in this National Stage ived. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific			
h L							
Attachmen							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P .			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) H Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis et al., U.S. Patent 6,052,386, hereinafter Achilleoudis in view of Czerwiec et al., U.S. Patent 6,314,102, hereinafter Czerwiec, Lamport, U.S. Patent 5,138,615, hereinafter Lamport and Saussy, U.S. Patent 5,936,963, hereinafter Saussy. Achilleoudis teaches a digital communication service as shown in figure 2 and also teaches the use of mini-cells based on Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) (column 4, lines 35-39). These mini-cells are allocated for housekeeping, ranging, MAC-layer and payload (control and supervision) (column 4, lines 43-46). Achilleoudis also teaches that the amount of mini-cells allocated for housekeeping, ranging, MAC-layer and payload is adapted to the actual need, and can even be zero for some cell types (column 4, lines 43-47). Since the mini-cells can be used for payload, any type of data traffic can be sent over them, including packet voice traffic. Achilleoudis also teaches that the minicells are frame and byte oriented as shown in figure 3. Achilleoudis teaches all of the above with the possible exception that the digital service is used in a point to point digital subscriber line communication service, scrambling the data over the line and synchronization that occurs during a period of null data transmission. Czerwiec teaches an ATM system that includes a scrambler before a Reed Solomon encoder and a descrambler after the Reed Solomon decoder (column 18, lines 4-6). Lamport teaches packet flow control for a local area network where if there is no data

Art Unit: 2663

which needs to be sent between two hosts, then synchronization bytes are sent, and the synchronization bytes are simply null data (column 9, lines 65-68). Saussy teaches transferring data over an ADSL link using the ATM data format (column 3, lines 1-3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the scrambler/descrambler of Czerwiec to the method of Achilleoudis in order to randomize the data (Czerwiec, column 18, lines 4-6). It would have also been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the packet flow control method of Lamport to send synchronization bytes as null data since they can instruct the receiver that no data is being sent (Lamport, column 10, lines 31-34). It also would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that Achilleoudis is teaching the use of mini-cells in an ATM network and since Sassy is teaching that ATM data can be sent over an ADSL link, which is inherently suited for point to point subscriber lines as explained by the applicant on page 6 of the "Response to Office Action Mailed May 12, 2003", the mini-cells of Achilleoudis can be used in the ADSL/ATM network of Saussy. At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the mini-cells in the ADSL network since ADSL may operate over existing telecommunications infrastructure without substantial investment, and is transparent to voice services (Saussy, column 2, lines 25-28).

- 3. Claims 2-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis, Czerwiec and Lamport as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Deng, U.S. Patent 6,243,394, hereinafter Deng.
- 4. Referring to claim 2, 3, 6 and 13, Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Saussy teach a point to point digital subscriber line communication system, the use of mini-cells for control and

Art Unit: 2663

supervision, scrambling the data over the line and synchronization that occurs during a period of null data transmission as shown in claim 1 above. Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Saussy teach all of the above with the possible exception of the use of modems to connect the two systems, a multiplexer or packet transaction means. Deng teaches a digital communication system comprising an ADSL Modem, Data Bus/Multiplexer and Switching Port Controllers (packet transaction means) in figures 4 and 5. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the mini-cells as taught by Achilleoudis, Czerwiec and Lamport over the network taught by Deng. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to facilitate an easy implementation of multiple services over a single communication network (Achilleoudis, column 4, line 48).

- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport, Saussy and Deng as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Deng. As applied to claim 2, Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport, Saussy and Deng do not teach a connection to an ATM network. Deng teaches a WAN protocol converter in figure 5 that can convert the protocol of data packets received from the wide area network from WAN protocols, such as frame relay or ATM protocol (column 7, lines 57-60). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the network of Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport, Saussy and Deng to an ATM network to provide WAN connectivity to the devices on the network.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport, Saussy and Deng as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Deng.

 As applied to claim 4, Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport, Saussy and Deng do not teach a twisted

Art Unit: 2663

conductor pair to connect the two devices. Deng teaches a twisted conductor pair to connect the devices as shown in figures 4 and 5. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the two devices in the communication network of Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport, Saussy and Deng with a twisted conductor pair as taught by Deng since an ADSL modem transmits and receives digital data packets on twisted pair (Deng, column 5, lines 2-3).

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant argued on page 7 of the "Response to Office Action Mailed May 12, 2003" that Achilleoudis does not teach a point-to-point subscriber line. While it is possible that Achilleoudis does not teach a point-to-point subscriber line, Achilleoudis does clearly teach the use of mini-cells in an ATM network. It has also been clearly shown that Saussy teaches the transfer of ATM data over an ADSL link (point-to-point subscriber line). The combination of these references clearly teaches that mini-cells can be used over an ADSL link as described in reference to claim 1 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith M. George whose telephone number is 703-305-6531. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00-4:30, every other F 7:00-3:30.

Art Unit: 2663

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Keith M. George

2 December 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 12/4/23